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This paper:

Interesting, careful, innovative mechanism.

Work in progress.

Concerns about substance and execution.
A communiqué sent to the UK Treasury RE: 1944 Bretton Woods Conference

“Twenty-one countries have been invited which clearly have nothing to contribute and will merely encumber the ground, namely, Columbia [sic], Costa Rica, Dominica, Ecuador, Salvador [sic], Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Liberia, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Philippines, Venezuela, Peru, Uruguay, Ethiopia, Iceland, Iran, Iraq, Luxembourg. The most monstrous monkey-house assembled for years. To these might be added: Egypt, Chile and (in present circumstances) Yugo-slavia [sic].”

John Maynard Keynes

...well, things have changed....
Key Issues for the world economy

why emerging countries are accumulating so much financial assets?

why in US T-bills and T-bonds?
Figure 1. Three Stylized Facts

Sources: (a) WDI and Deutsche Bank; (b) International Financial Statistics and Survey of Professional Forecasters; (c) World Development Indicators, Bureau of Economic Analysis, European Central Bank, Bank of Japan, and Authors' calculations (see Appendix).
By 2007 China owns claims on the U.S. equal to 4.64% of the U.S. GDP.

China opened its financial account in 1998 (= our starting date).
Hypotheses:

differences in the supply of assets (Caballero, Farhi, Gourinchas)

differences in the enforcement (Mendoza, Quadrini, Rios-Rull)

differences in the riskiness (Prasad, Angeletos, Panousi, Tserynnokov)

US T-bills/T-bonds have collateral value (Cao and Gete)
Financial markets: $\{b, R\}, \{k, R^{US}\}, \quad R^{US} < R, \quad m \geq 0.$

$$v(e, b, k) = \max \left\{ u(c) + \beta \int v(e', b', k') \ P \ (de', e) \right\}$$

\text{st :}

$$c \leq e + b + k - \frac{k'}{R^{US}} - \frac{b'}{R^{US}}$$

$$k' \geq 0$$

$$b' \geq -mk'$$

Special case: $$P(A, e) = \begin{cases} 1_{\bar{e}}(A) & \text{if } e = \bar{e} \\ 1_e(A) & \text{if } e = \bar{e} \end{cases}$$
Results

\( m \leq 1 \): role of US bonds: storage of value

\( e = \bar{e} \): \( k' > 0, \ b' = 0 \).

\( e = e \): \( k' = 0, \ b' = 0 \).

\( m > 1 \): role of US bonds: permits to borrow.

\( e = \bar{e} \): \( k' = 0, \ b' > 0 \).

\( e = e \): \( k' > 0, \ b' < 0 \).
Comment 1: Puzzling observable implications

Emerging markets countries’ demand for T-bills:

Recession: Increase.

Boom: Decrease.

Countries facing a Sudden stop: Large accumulation of US T-bills?
Comment 2: Equilibrium type vs. a country’s type

Two country model: $b_{1,t} = -b_{2,t}$.

if $m_i \leq 1$, and $m_j > 1$ then $b_{j,t} = 0$; $k$ is the only store of value.

mixing an individual constraint with a market clearing condition.

Cannot use differences in equilibria for differences across individuals.
Alternative: continuum of countries

some with $m_i \leq 1$, some with $m_j > 1$.

key difference: countries free to save in EM debt.

$m_i > 1$:

expansions: lend in EM debt: $k' = 0$, $b' > 0$.

recessions: borrow, use T-bills as permits: $k' > 0$, $b' < 0$.

$m_i \leq 1$:

expansions: save in EM debt, $b' > 0$.

recessions: no borrowing: $k' = 0$, $b' = 0$. 
Preferred Alternative:

continuum of SOE, all with \( m \leq 1 \).

Stochastic shocks, country and world level.

Richer structure of securities, perhaps two-period lived.

Objective:

Optimal to use REPO (with \( m_i \leq 1 \)) to keep exposure to other assets.
Comment 3: $m > 1$?

**Theoretical foundation?** Can this be generated from a participation constraint? I don’t think so, but...

**Empirical support?** Yes for use of REPOs, but in general $m \leq 1$. 
Reserve management in the Central Bank of Costa Rica:

**use of repos?** Yes, very often.

**why?** quick generation of liquidity, favorable interest rates.

**terms?** durations typically of two weeks; sometimes up to three months. Exclusively T-bills or T-bonds.

**values of $m$?** Can be high, close to one. Values depend on the rating of the country. A value greater than one is unheard of, at least in Costa Rica (BB rating).
why reserves in T-bills? Mandate is for liquidity over return. The mentality is to be ready for any crisis/shortage, and other normal fluctuations. The prospect of a crisis is always there. The downside risks in higher return-higher risk securities could be fatal for the Central Banker....

but huge inefficiencies in the long-run! Yep. More sophisticated Central Bankers have created "Sovereign Investment Funds," with much higher returns. Most notably:

  - Singapore,
  - Norway
  - Saudi Arabia
  - Chile

Other Central Bankers have noticed.....
The big issue: Global Imbalances

Have taken place in the past, e.g. years before Bretton Woods, 1944.

US had accumulated most of the gold!

Typically associated with the emergence/‘industrialization’ of countries.

....England, US, Japan,...China?

Transfers of gold and financial assets.

Long run gains for emerging countries: future emergence of other countries.

like OLG of emerging countries?